

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,366		12/22/2003	Ashish Anilbhai Patel	G-33574P1	7968
1095	7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
NOVAR		TIECTIMI DDADEI	OH, SIMON J		
	CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2				PAPER NUMBER
EAST HA	EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			1615	
				DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,366	PATEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Simon J. Oh	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und		
isposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
oplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. (S 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	igh phoney under 55 5.5.5.	γ 113(a)-(a) οι (ι).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bur		Tredelived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
	,	
20hmant/a)	•	
achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, <u> </u>	D
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Information Disclosure Statement

DETAILED ACTION

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 04 September 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corvari *et al*. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0220403 A1) in view of Bentolila *et al*. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0105891 A1)

The Corvari *et al.* publication discloses modafinil compositions formulated with various excipients (See Abstract). Various glidants may be used in the disclosed compositions, including calcium silicate and magnesium trisilicate (See Section 0026). Suitable diluents include lactose, starch, and microcrystalline cellulose (See Sections 0021 and 0022). Suitable disintegrants include pre-gelatinized starch and cross-linked sodium carboxymethylcellulose (See Section 0023). Suitable lubricants include magnesium stearate (See Section 0025). The composition

Application/Control Number: 10/743,366

Art Unit: 1615

may be formulated as either tablets or capsules (See Section 0047). Processes for making the compositions are disclosed, as well as methods of treatment (See Claims 16 and 52).

The Corvari *et al.* publication discusses particle size in only general terms (See Section 0051).

The Bentolila *et al.* publication discloses pharmaceutical compositions comprising modafinil particles, wherein at least about 5% of the particles have a diameter greater than 200 microns (See Abstract). The disclosed modafinil particles achieve significantly higher blood levels than previously predicted (See Sections 0010, 0011, 0034, and 0035).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the two prior art references in order to obtain the instantly claimed invention. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate the improvements presented in the Bentolila *et al.* publication regarding particle sizes into other prior art references that disclose modafinil compositions, with a reasonable expectation of success. As both references disclose oral modafinil compositions, they are analogous art and can thus be properly combined. Thus, the instantly claimed invention is *prima facie* obvious.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,366

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Simon J. Oh Examiner Art Unit 1615

sjo

THURMAN K. PAGE
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER